

**NATIONAL PUBLIC POLICY SUPPORT FOR
SUSTAINABLE DAM DEVELOPMENT IN GHANA**

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE
2nd ANNUAL MEETING OF DAM AFFECTED
COMMUNITIES.**

**THEME:
BUILDING COHESION AND SYNERGIES BETWEEN DAM
AFFECTED COMMUNITIES IN GHANA**

**VENUE: AKUSE CINEMA HALL, AKUSE, GHANA
30th JULY, 2010**

Table of Contents

1.0	MORNING SESSION	4
1.1	Opening Prayer.....	4
1.2	Introduction of Chair and Chairman’s Response	4
1.3	Welcome Address	4
1.4	Speeches by Invited Guests.....	5
1.5	Chairman’s Closing Remarks	6
1.6	Outcome of the First Annual Meeting.....	6
2.0	AFTERNOON SESSION	8
2.1	Update of the Hydropower Sustainability Assessment Forum (HSAF) Consultation with Dam Affected Communities in Ghana.	8
2.2	Deliberation on the Update	9
2.3	Cohesion Building among Affected Communities	9
2.4	Wrapping Up	12
2.5	Closing Prayer	12

List of Appendices

Chairman’s Response

Welcome Address by the Secretariat of the Ghana Dams Dialogue Delivered By Dr. Liqa Raschid-Sally

Speech to Participants At The 2nd Annual Meeting Of Dam Affected Communities By Nene Tetteh Amoako IV On Behalf Of Togbe Adom Drayi Ii, Chairman Of NAVRAT 52

Speech to Participants at the 2nd Annual Meeting of Dam Affected Communities By Nana Kodwo Wuo II, Chief Of Bui

Speech to Participants at the 2nd Annual Meeting of Dam Affected Communities By Ms. Rhoda Arthur, A Representative Of Volta River Authority

Speech to Participants at the 2nd Annual Meeting of Dam Affected Communities by Mr. Joe Nyankamawu on behalf Of the District Chief Executive Of The Lower Manya Krobo District Assembly

Chairman’s Closing Remarks

Programme

List of Participants

INTRODUCTION

Theme of the Meeting:

The theme of the Meeting is “Building Cohesion and Synergies between Dam Affected Communities in Ghana”.

Programme for the Meeting

The meeting took place on Friday 30th July, 2010 at VRA Cinema Hall, Akuse, Ghana, between 09:00GMT and 14:00 GMT.

Organizers of the Meeting

The meeting was organized by the International Water Management Institute (IWMI) acting as the de-facto secretariat of the Ghana Dams Dialogue for dam affected communities.

Donor

The workshop was financed by German Technical Cooperation (GTZ).

Participation

A total of 88 participants attended the meeting. These comprised a representative of the Volta River Authority District Chief Executives, Paramount Chiefs, Divisional Chiefs; Sub-divisional Chiefs; Heads of communities of the affected communities of the Akosombo, Kpong and the Bui Hydropower Dams.

1.0 MORNING SESSION

1.1 Opening Prayer

The meeting officially started with a prayer from Nana Kwadwo Wuo II, Chief of Bui.

1.2 Introduction of Chair and Chairman's Response

Nene Sasraku IV, the Acting President of the Manya Krobo House of Chiefs was introduced as the Chairman for the morning session of the meeting followed by introduction of other members of the high table.

1.3 Welcome Address

Dr. Liqa Raschid-Sally expressed her enthusiasm with the fact that all have come to recognize the Ghana Dams Dialogue (GDD) process as being inclusive, transparent and non-confrontational platform to have discussions on important issues surrounding dams. Dr. Liqa Raschid-Sally highlighted how the dialogue has been a successful platform for communities' concerns with dam development to be expressed and responses sought. She added that, the GDD and the DAC's participated in the Hydropower Sustainability Assessment Forum (HSAF) Consultation and recommendations made by the GDD and DAC's were taken on board by the HSAF which she believed is a substantial achievement. Members of the National Coordinating Committee (NCC) participated in visits to three Ministers (Ministry of Environment, Science & Technology, Lands and Natural Resources, and Local Government), who congratulated the GDD on its achievements and encouraged the NCC to look at ways to secure funding so that the dialogue could be continued. Apart from these, Dr. Liqa Raschid-Sally added that, the Secretariat had undertaken an evaluation of the communications products and events associated with the dialogue and recommendations had been made to improve these product and events. Finally, she indicated that, the NCC of the GDD is now looking at options for financial support as the GTZ is no longer in a place to support the GDD at the end of the phase.

1.4 Speeches by Invited Guests

After the welcome address from the Secretariat of the Ghana Dams Dialogue, a speech by NAVRAT 52 was made followed by three (3) speeches from invited guests, the Chief of Bui, Nana Kwadwo Wuo II, the representative of VRA, Ms. Rhoda Arthur and finally the representative of the District Chief Executive (DCE) of the Lower Manya Krobo District Assembly, Mr. Joe Nyamkamawu.

Nene Tetteh Amoako IV who delivered a speech on behalf of Togbe Adom Drayi II for NAVRAT 52, reminded the participants that this is the 2nd Annual Meeting with the Dam Affected Communities. He went on to talk about the importance of unity following the action of the six (6) Kpong Dam Resettlement Townships in joining NAVRAT 52 after the meeting last year. In view of this, it is his wish that Bui will also join in order to be able to fight for a common course and change the name from NAVRAT 52 to a more suitable name. He humbly advised that they should use this platform to champion the challenges facing their communities.

Nana Kwadwo Wuo II, Chief of Bui, expressed his gratitude for all in coming together again to talk about developmental issues and even for the fact that the third dam is about to be built and they are fortunate enough to have an opportunity to have a platform of this type to champion their cause. Nana Kwadwo Wuo II also called on all to unite so as to speak with one voice. He emphasized that a lot of problems had been faced by their fellow colleagues from Kpong and Akosombo and therefore with one voice they can still correct the mistakes that were made previously. Moreover he asked everybody to contribute with seriousness to the effectiveness of their cause. He concluded by thanking the donors for their support all throughout the project, and hoped that until the right thing is done in this country, they should not stop assisting them.

Ms. Rhoda Arthur, representing the Volta River Authority, emphasized on the fact that she is sitting in as an observer but she will be taking note of the discussions, challenges and the issues that will come up and definitely send a report back to her institution. She finally expressed her gratitude for being a part of the meeting and supported Dr. Liqa's

response that they are trying to do the best that they can to better the lives of the resettlers.

The representative of the DCE of Lower Manya Krobo District, apologized for coming late and for the absence of the DCE who was in Accra with other DCEs to have a meeting with the president. He brought his message of goodwill and asked that all participants take the workshop with the seriousness it deserves in order to gain some knowledge to impact the communities they represent. Finally, he promised to stay for a while and brought greetings from the communities and the Lower Manya Krobo District Assembly.

1.5 Chairman's Closing Remarks

The Chairman, Nene Sasraku IV, in his closing remarks expressed his enthusiasm with the step taken by Kpong in joining NAVRAT 52. He therefore congratulated them and wished that those from Bui will follow suit. He expressed some sadness since most of the issues discussed in the first meeting have still not been addressed and emphasized on the importance of people attending forums related to their welfare to bring out suggestions that will contribute to a better life. He then appealed to VRA to give resettlers not only resettlement areas but also ensure that they have all the necessary facilities since most of those who have been resettled do not have potable drinking water. He concluded on an optimistic note that by the time they meet next year most of the issues discussed would have been addressed for the betterment of all the communities.

1.6 Outcome of the First Annual Meeting

At this juncture, Richard Twum Koranteng read the recommendations of the 1st Annual Meeting to the house and asked Dr. Liqa Raschid-Sally to respond to it. In response, Dr. Liqa honestly said that none of the recommendations had yet been implemented due to the lack of finance. She however explained the progress so far whereby BPA participated in a meeting of the National Coordinating Committee. The BPA also had an interaction with Bui and those to be resettled. It is her hope to encourage VRA and BPA to join hands in implementing these activities. Even though success has not yet been attained, the Ghana Dams Dialogue continues to act as a channel to highlight the problems of the

people. Actually, there is a workshop on the implementation of the Bui proposed resettlement programme which is yet to take place and because of all the discussions that took place in the presence of BPA, she does believe that more interactions will occur with the Bui community. She also insisted that they need the support of the Dam Affected Communities (DAC's) to enhance their full participation in the dialogue. Finally, she emphasized that looking at the recommended activities and the responsibilities of the dialogue, more must be done for the form that the dialogue will take in the future. .

2.0 AFTERNOON SESSION

2.1 Update of the Hydropower Sustainability Assessment Forum (HSAF) Consultation with Dam Affected Communities in Ghana.

Mr. Richard Twum Koranteng read to the participants some of the concerns that were raised by the participants during the HSAF consultation in Ghana with Dam Affected Communities. The issues raised and the current approaches as reflected in the draft protocol were indicated as below:

- Protocol was difficult to understand and use for a wide spectrum of stakeholders:
 - *Current approach:* “One size fits all”-approach is not realistic. Therefore, auditors and the representatives of the project have been given more flexibility in the application of the protocol
- The Draft Protocol was too abstract and academic for non-auditors to use:
 - *Current approach:* Additional tools and guidance can be developed that further meet the needs and interests of stakeholders interested in sustainable hydropower but this has to be discussed in the context of a potential second phase of the HSAF process.
- People who are not familiar with the Protocol cannot understand it due to the complex and abstract logic and complicated language used.
 - *Current approach:*
 - The Protocol structure and language has been streamlined and simplified for auditing purposes.
 - Training and formal use of the Protocol will be implemented by license agreement. Such activities include: training services and associated materials, accreditation of assessors.
- Participants called for a more specific addressing of gender issues. It was feared that gender issues, which are now included as a “cross-cutting issue”, would not get adequate attention during an audit as the aspects would guide interview questions asked and evidence tabled. There is need for more attention and special guidance on how to address cross-cutting issues in general and gender perspectives in particular.

- *Current approach:*
- By streamlining the scoring part of the Draft Protocol, the auditing guidance notes that entail gender, are less likely to be ignored, but no more specific guidance is provided.
- Traditional chieftaincy rules (such as traditional land tenure and traditional decision-making systems on the community level) or conflicts between ethnic groups should be part of the Protocol.
 - *Current approach:* not reflected.
- Hope was expressed that the systematic application of a tool like the Protocol would mean that proper documentation of the performance of planned and operating hydropower projects was in place, and could be used to hold government and developers / operators accountable. Currently, affected communities are taken advantage of because they have poor access to information. .
 - *Current approach:* The question of level of disclosure of information is still under discussion.

2.2 Deliberation on the Update

A participant indicated that the current approaches to the HSAF protocol was in place. He further requested that documentation of the last meeting and activities of the NCC should be made available prior to meetings as some of what was said is far from their minds. Dr. Liqa responded by saying that, NCC activities are reported to the DAC through the newsletters, however it was clear from the communication assessment that the GDD is not producing enough newsletter and will try to improve this in the future even if by just producing photocopies of the newsletter.

2.3 Cohesion Building among Affected Communities

Discussions on the way forward were based on organization and how to handle the internal problems among the affected communities. Finally, the discussion was streamlined and the following suggestions were agreed upon by the participants:

- ❖ Compensation should be paid to original land owners.

- ❖ There are a lot of problems and these problems must be identified by VRA and immediate steps must be taken to solve them.
- ❖ On the future of these meetings, Nana Sakyi IV queried which funding sources could be tapped further meetings of the dam affected communities. He called on the members of the bodies that represent the communities to speak on behalf of all the affected communities.
- ❖ Many issues were raised once more about compensation and the welfare of the VRA resettlement towns:
 - The planning and legal departments of the VRA were called upon to mediate litigations. The facts around issues were getting lost with time.
 - Sunni Kadiray suggested a stronger force to deliberate with the VRA on issues concerning their welfare. He believes that, the Executives of the Dam Affected Communities has done enough but since it is not yielding much, they should get a support from the Ghana Dams Dialogue to guide them or join them to deliberate with the VRA.
 - N. O. Anim from West Kpong said that the VRA had not kept its promise of clearly demarcating the land, and the original landowners were complaining about non compensation and consequently were fighting with the resettlers.
 - Nene Nanor Odjidji from Somanya resettlement strongly suggested that documentation in the form of notes or letters should be given to show their settlement status in their area. The lack of papers even after 46 years questions their legal status, and some have been accused of being squatter and have been taken to court by the original owners
- ❖ The VRA trust fund came under discussion and various suggestions were given.
 - M. K. Galley (National Secretary for NAVRAT 52) suggested that the VRA Trust Fund should be allocated government funds. Such a system would improve the financial sustainability of the Trust Fund for developmental programmes.

- Nana Sakyi IV commented that the Trust Fund should look into reserving an annual contribution to support the meeting of the DACs, instead of relying on GTZ or other donors.
 - Ms. Rhoda Arthur educated the participants of the meeting about the VRA Trust Fund and explained that it was based on an annual donation. The only source so far has been the VRA but she noted the various suggestions made. Responding to the issues of land titles, she added that the Real Estate Department of VRA in conjunction with the Lands Department were working so hard to ensure that some of these land issues would be resolved over time.
- ❖ Other discussion centered around the unification of all dam affected communities so as to speak with one voice. Nana Sakyi IV advised the affected communities of the Bui Hydropower project to join the NAVRAT 52. Nana Kwadwo Wuo II (the Chief of Bui) supported the suggestion of a unified front by having the leaders from the various groups that is, NAVRAT 52, Kpong and Bui joining together. He further suggested that they register as an association and get a common constitution. They would then have a common front for discussing problems and could get legal advice as well when necessary. He felt that immediate action was warranted. He commended GTZ for empowering the affected communities but queried “for how long will GTZ continue to empower them”. The issue of funding for the association was raised as there were many actions to be undertaken and even legal advice had to be paid for. A participant suggested that, each participating community contribute a hundred Ghana Cedis (100 GHC) by the end of September to start something with it and get people to address their grievances. The composition of the executive body for the association was discussed and various suggestions made. ”. Christopher Narh was of the view, since they don’t have money to get people to speak on their behalf, they must demonstrate for the VRA and Government to hear them.

After the numerous suggestions, the participants finally decided on the following which were moved and then seconded.

- ❖ The executive strength should be thirteen (13); seven (7) from NAVRAT 52, three (3) from Kpong and three (3) from Bui. Fourteen (14) representatives of the association and fourteen (14) paramount chiefs should be at the national level. This motion was moved by J. K. Amoako and seconded by Kingsley Gyimah.
- ❖ These chiefs would meet after the 2nd Annual Meeting of the DAC to make a final decision on other meetings and to speak further on the way forward before departing. This motion was moved by Hon. Christopher Narh and seconded by M. O. T. Anim.
- ❖ Bui should join the association. This motion was moved by Nana Kwadwo Wuo II, Chief of Bui, and seconded by Agbake Matthew K. V.

2.4 Wrapping Up

In wrapping up, Dr. Liqa talked about how fruitful the discussions had been. She applauded the membership on their decision to unite and the progress made in moving from speaking of problems to seeking solutions. She suggested that they should find a way of self-financing immediately, then later look for financial assistance from donors, and other financing sources both in and outside Ghana. She advised the association to develop proposals once they were set up in order to source these funds. Finally, she reconfirmed the decision on the way forward, and the composition of the new association as stated above.

2.5 Closing Prayer

The meeting ended with a prayer from Nana Kwadwo Wuo II.

APPENDICES

CHAIRMAN'S RESPONSE FOR CHAIRING THE MEETING

Nananom, Nenemei, Torgbui and ladies and gentlemen

Once again we have met here to talk about our challenges in our communities as we scheduled and I'm also privileged to be the chairman for this important forum. All I will do at the moment is to plead with you to assist me so that we can run this programme.

Thank you very much.

WELCOME ADDRESS BY THE SECRETARIAT OF THE GHANA DAMS DIALOGUE DELIVERED BY DR. LIQA RASCHID-SALLY

Mr. Chairman, District Chief Executive, Paramount Chiefs, Divisional Chiefs, Sub-divisional Chiefs, Heads of Communities, Distinguished Guests.

Once again I am here, on behalf of the National Coordinating Committee, of the GDD, to pronounce the welcoming remarks.

I stand before you with a feeling of achievement. A feeling of happiness at what we have achieved together, in terms of recognition of the GDD process as one which is inclusive, transparent, and non-confrontational; and providing all of us as stakeholders, from the Government , to elected bodies, to traditional bodies and to civil society organizations and the private sector; a mechanism whereby we can have discussions on contentious issues around dams and arrive at amicable solutions. I would like to mention some of the highlights of the past year.

Since the last DAC meeting we have had the Forum, and participated in the HSAF consultation process. In Africa I believe that we were the only Dam affected community group which was consulted. This was a fruitful exercise in that the concerns of the DAC have been recognized and they have tried to respond to all of these. We will be presenting some reflections on this, that have taken place since last year.

Various members of the NCC participated in teams to visit 3 Ministers, the Minister of Environment Science and Technology, the Minister of Lands and Natural Resources and the Minister of Local Government. At all these meetings the objectives and activities of the dialogue were described and the role of DACs as members of the NCC and the Forum. All three ministers congratulated the initiative, unanimously felt that the process was serving a very useful purpose, and encouraged us to find a model for its continuation at the end of this phase of the process. Various suggestions were given which we are studying.

This leads me to the action planning meeting that took place in order to see the way forward. It was an exercise internal to the NCC where your representatives participated actively. We recognized the strengths and weaknesses of the dialogue in order to build upon the strengths and overcome our weaknesses. One of our strengths as I said was the inclusiveness and transparency of the platform. Our weakness has been that there is no formal mechanism whereby we can monitor the implementation of recommendations, whether it's by state authorities, the power authorities and the private sector, or even by yourselves as affected groups. An example is the communiqué that we made at our previous Fora and at the last DAC meeting. I believe that there are many outstanding items on which we cannot take action because of this.

However we felt that both the BPA and the VRA have hugely benefited from this process of dialogue and that though there is no formal mechanism, informally they have responded in various ways. The continuing participation of BPA in the NCC and the active support of the VRA especially that of the trust fund is evident. We have also recently undertaken an evaluation of the communications products and tools of the dialogue, like the newsletter and the Forum meetings, and recommendations have been given for their improvement where needed.

Unfortunately the BMZ is no longer in a position to support this process financially and technically, and we will have to seek other sources of local and international funding. But we have great expectations that this is possible. Now we must make the Dialogue a force to reckon with, a byword when it comes to sustainable, dams development in Ghana. And this requires also that the DAC association is strengthened. I hope that we will move forward with this at today's meeting.

Akwaaba.

SPEECH TO PARTICIPANTS AT THE 2ND ANNUAL MEETING OF DAM AFFECTED COMMUNITIES BY NENE TETTEH AMOAKO IV ON BEHALF OF TOGBE ADOM DRAYI II, CHAIRMAN OF NAVRAT 52.

Mr. Chairman, Nenemei, Nananom, Mamao, Torgbuio, Distinguished Invited Guest from IWMI, Honourable DCE, Ladies and Gentlemen

I am pleased to give this short speech on behalf of Togbe Adom Drayi II (Chairman of NAVRAT 52) who could not be here because of an equally important national assignment. As you are all aware, this is the 2nd Annual Meeting of Dam Affected Communities that is, Resettlement Township. The last of the phase was a platform to launch series of not only annual meeting but strategy meeting. Last year if you came after the annual meeting the 6 Kpong Dam Resettlement Township resolved to join NAVRAT 52 to make it 58 to champion this course as a united body and we hope our friends from Bui will also join soon so that we can change the name from NAVRAT 52 to give it a suitable name since VRA is not responsible for the Bui project. Please kindly use this platform to strategize and come out with modalities for us to be on a position to champion the course of changing the phase of our community. You are all welcome.

Thank you.

SPEECH TO PARTICIPANTS AT THE 2ND ANNUAL MEETING OF DAM AFFECTED COMMUNITIES BY NANA KODWO WUO II, CHIEF OF BUI.

Nene Chairman, Nenemei, Nananom, members of the International Water Management Institute, Ladies and Gentlemen.

We are very, grateful this morning to be here once again to think about our developmental issues. We from Bui are very grateful for getting this platform to champion on our course. I will say we are grateful because this is the time that the third dam is being built and we are fortunate enough to have this opportunity. Once again I will call upon our senior brothers from Akosombo and Kpong who have passed through a lot of difficulties and this is the time that we are all meeting as a body, a united front to champion the course of justice. Therefore I will call upon all of you to let us get united so that we can speak with one voice. I know there are a lot of mistakes that were done previously but still it is not too late. With one voice we can still meet and then to try to correct some of the mistakes that were made. Therefore let us see this platform as an opportunity that has come our way and we should also try to contribute effectively and with the seriousness that we pledged. I will also use this opportunity to thank our donors who have been able to make it possible for us to meet here. We are still requesting that even though they have been able to help to this level but as Oliver Twist we are still asking for more. We are still saying that they should try to assist us so that the justice, the equal right or the right thing that we want to be done will be done in the country. At this juncture I will end here and then give the opportunity for the Chairman to continue the programme.

Thank you very much.

SPEECH TO PARTICIPANTS AT THE 2ND ANNUAL MEETING OF DAM AFFECTED COMMUNITIES BY MS. RHODA ARTHUR, A REPRESENTATIVE OF VOLTA RIVER AUTHORITY.

I am here as an observer because this is a meeting of the Dam affected communities. But I believe that as already been said, as we sit in and we listen we send it and we learn a lot from it. So we are believing that today my coming here even though I'm an observer obviously I'm going to listen to all your discussions, I will definitely hear all the challenges in the issues that will come up and send this across and VRA will see how best to also pick it up from there. So for now the only thing is we are very grateful to be part of this meeting. We really appreciate it. We will listen to your comments and we will send it back and definitely as Dr. Liqa Raschid-Sally said we are learning and we are trying to do the best that we can. Thank you very much.

SPEECH TO PARTICIPANTS AT THE 2ND ANNUAL MEETING OF DAM AFFECTED COMMUNITIES BY MR. JOE NYANKAMAWU ON BEHALF OF THE DISTRICT CHIEF EXECUTIVE OF THE LOWER MANYA KROBO DISTRICT ASSEMBLY.

We are very sorry for coming late. We know a whole lot of problems where people are there and you ask them to go away. The District Chief Executive (DCE) is in Accra. As I talk now all DCE's in this country are meeting the president and that is why he couldn't be here. He has asked me to represent him, and to send you his message of goodwill. It is his wish that that, all participants take this workshop very seriously so that at the end of the day, we will leave here with something new that we can make an impact on the communities that we represent. So this is my very short message to you. I will join you for this meeting for sometime before I go back to attend to other meetings. So I bring you greetings from natives and Lower Manya District Assembly.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN'S CLOSING REMARKS.

Nananom, Nenemei, Togbewo, distinguished participants, representatives of the DCE, VRA and all other protocol observed.

I'm happy in the first place to hear that the Kpong settlements have now joined the NAVRAT 52. It is even said that "two heads are better than one" so in a situation like this if you sit behind and you want to fight alone, maybe you will be defeated but you fight as a group then you will have the power. So I congratulate Kpong for joining NAVRAT 52 and I wish that the Bui will soon join them. Now you will see I'm so sad that most of these things that we discussed here last year up till now have not been addressed. That is why it is important that forums like this we have to attend in our numbers and come out with suggestions and advices that will enhance our own welfare in our communities. On this note, I will appeal to the VRA, most of them rest on them. The mere fact of settling the people is not enough. They must have a place to lay their heads; they have to take care of their welfare, health, home and everything. Even some of those who have been settled have no portable drinking water which is unacceptable. So we appeal to the VRA not just to settle and leave them but must ensure that they leave prosperous lives in their resettlement communities. On this note I will say I hope by next year when we will have a forum like this again we will come to hear a better news, good news that most of the things which have been discussed will be addressed for the betterment of our communities.

Thank you very much for coming.

List of Participants

NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	TOWN/ORGANIZATION	POSITION
1	Emmanuel Asante Nyinaku	Adukrom	
2	Christopher Narh	Dedeso Wireku	Assemblyman
3	Joseph Dell Oduro	Dominase	
4	Togbe Asare	Fodjoko	
5	N.O. T. Anim	Kpong	Secretary
6	Francis Addai	Mpakadan	
7	Bright Osei	Natriku	Opinion Leader
8	Harry Nfodjo	New Adjina	
9	Nana Adu Agyei Bonsu	Old Akrade	Chief / Vice Chairman
10	Nana Otieku A. Asare	New Senchi	
11	Ebenezer Tetteh	Onuku	
12	Togbe Forkpe I	Senchi	Chief
13	Nene Nanor Odjidji	Somanya	
14	Nana Sakyi IV	Amamkwakrom	Chief
15	Wilberforce Komlagah	Mem-Chemfre	
16	Patience Azametsi	Mpaem	
17	Agbeko Sesi	New Oworobong	
18	Nana Akuamoh Boateng	Ntonaboma	Chief
19	Dzomeku Evans	Botoku Dsani	Chairman
20	George Odikro A.	BowiriOdumasi	
21	P. K. Dompkeh	NAVRAT 52	Organizer
22	Peter Dumakor	Fesi	
23	Koffie Addom	Sabadu	
24	Nana Ntim Gyakari IV	Tapa Amanfrom	Chief
25	Owusu Bediako Kokroko	Tepo	Opinion Leader
26	Ismaila Agbeme	Todome	
27	Emmanuel Osafo Nyarko	Tongor Kaira	Opinion Leader
28	F. K. Dzontoh	Vakpo Dunyo	
29	Nana Asiakwah II	Wuropong	Omanhene
30	Kwame Agyemang	Adonkwanta	Comm. Member
31	Nana Odam Gyamfi	Asukawkaw	Chief
32	Clement Mensah	Dambai	Secretary
33	Thomas Peni	Dambai	Secretary
34	J. K. Amoako	Ehiamankyene	Chairman
35	Obormator Kwame	Grubi	Nifahene
36	B. Y. Donkor	Kete Krachi	Secretary
37	Paul Barimah	Ntewusa	

38	Jilimah Patrick	Tokroano	Assemblyman
39	Comfort Shardy	Kajaji	Secretary
40	Margaret Akotia	Kajaji	Leader
41	William T. Amanor	Labum	Leader
42	Moses Matey	Labum	
43	Samuel Boakye	Prang	
44	Solomon Todoko	Prang	Farmer
45	Gideon Tetteh	Yeji	
46	R. E. K. Sefa	Bui	
47	Alfred Shardy	Bui	
48	Felicia Nartey	Bui	Secretary
49	Quarshie Benjamin	Bui	
50	Yaw Oduro	Bui	Opinion Leader
51	Faustina Ofori	Bui	
52	Abedinego Quaye	Bui	
53	Jonathan Nuerter	Bui	
54	Yaw Annany	Bui	Opinion Leader
55	Jennifer Debrah	Bui	Secretary
56	Edward Kooro	Bui	
57	Maxwell Gbadago	Bui	
58	Mohammed Ali	Bui	
59	Francis Deri	Bui	
60	Michael Atsu	Bui	Leader
61	Matthew Agbake	Bui	Secretary
62	Mallam Issah	Bui	
63	Joseph Kuma	Bui	
64	Kingsley Gacham	Yeji	Chairman
65	Peter Adikyere	Bau-Kafaba	
66	Joseph Anyanuameh	Bau-Kafaba	
67	Nana Atamusagaba	Blajai	Chief
68	Samah Lapah Peter	Buma	
69	Amanor Senyemi	Gulubi	
70	Francis Tetteh Doku	Gulubi	
71	Paul Boduah	Kitare	
72	Samuel Kwesi Obutey Kofi	Kitare	
73	Tanko Mohammed	Kpandai	Secretary
74	Alhaji Seidu Haruna	Makango	
75	Fati Garipa	Makango	
76	Richard Kwame Swanzy	Yapei	
77	Sumi Kadiry	Yapei	Opinion Leader
78	Nene Tetteh Amoako IV	Rep - K. V. B.	

79	Nene Sasraku IV	Manya Krobo Trad. Co
80	Joe Nyankamawu	Lower Manya Krobo D/A
81	Nana Kwadjo Wuo II	Bui
82	Emmanuel Kpakpa	Bui
83	Rhoda Arthur	V. R. A.
84	Liqa Raschid - Sally	IWMI
85	Richard Twum Koranteng	VBDF
86	Edmund Kyei Akoto-Danso	IWMI
87	Loney Prue	IWMI
88	Stephen Tuozollo	IWMI